International Journal of Social Science and Human Research

ISSN (print): 2644-0679, ISSN (online): 2644-0695

Volume 07 Issue 12 December 2024

DOI: 10.47191/ijsshr/v7-i12-22, Impact factor- 7.876

Page No: 8936-8951

Mobility as a Key Coping Strategy among Pastoral Communities: The Case of Nomadic Pastoralists in Kenya

Abdirizak Arale Nunow

Associate Professor of Environmental Studies, Dept of Geography and Environmental studies, Moi University, P. O. Box 3900-30700, Eldoret, Kenya

ABSTRACT: This study explored the challenges and opportunities associated with mobility as a coping strategy among nomadic pastoralists in Kenya. Traditionally, mobility has been integral to the survival and resilience of these communities, enabling them to navigate environmental variability and socio-economic challenges. The research focused on the factors influencing mobility patterns, including climate change, land tenure changes and socio-political dynamics. It highlighted how mobility allows pastoralists to mitigate the impacts of drought by moving livestock to areas with better grazing conditions, thus sustaining their economic viability. However, the study also identified significant challenges such as conflicts over grazing lands, exacerbated by increasing human population and land use changes. The desktop research methodology employed in this study involved gathering and analyzing secondary data from various credible sources, including academic journals, governmental and non-governmental organization reports, and statistical databases. This approach facilitated a comprehensive analysis of existing literature, providing insights into the socio-economic and environmental impacts of mobility. The findings indicated that while mobility is crucial for accessing resources and adapting to environmental changes, it is increasingly constrained by factors such as land fragmentation and socio-political developments. Despite these challenges, opportunities exist to enhance mobility practices through improved infrastructure, technology and policy interventions aimed at securing pastoral land rights and creating livestock movement corridors. The study concluded that mobility remains a vital adaptive mechanism for pastoralists, supporting both environmental sustainability and socio-economic resilience. Policy recommendations included promoting flexible land use policies, enhancing access to markets and services, and strengthening community-based resource management systems. By combining traditional practices with modern technology and policy support, the resilience of pastoral communities can be significantly enhanced. These findings underscore the need for integrated approaches that recognize the importance of mobility in the sustainable development of pastoral systems in Kenya.

KEYWORDS: Pastoral Mobility, Climate Change Adaptation, Land Tenure, Socio-Economic Resilience, Conflict Resolution

INTRODUCTION

Background information on Pastoral Communities in Kenya

Pastoral communities in Kenya have traditionally relied on mobility as a crucial strategy to cope with environmental variability and socio-economic challenges. This practice, deeply embedded in their cultural and livelihood systems, involves seasonal movement to access water and pasture, thereby ensuring the survival of their livestock, and hence their own survival. Over the past decades, the mobility patterns among these communities have been significantly influenced by factors such as climate change, land tenure changes and socio-political dynamics. For instance, Lelenguyah, *et al.* (2021) highlighted that climate variability has intensified in Samburu County, necessitating more frequent and longer migrations to find adequate grazing. This trend underscores the increased vulnerability of pastoralists to climatic shocks, with mobility serving as a vital adaptive mechanism.

The socio-economic impacts of droughts have further emphasized the importance of mobility among pastoralists in Northern Kenya. The Turkana community, for example, faces recurrent droughts that threaten their livestock-dependent livelihoods. Mutu (2017) in the Ilemi Triangle region revealed that mobility allows the Turkana pastoralists to mitigate the effects of drought by moving their herds to areas with better forage availability. This strategy not only ensures the survival of livestock but also sustains the economic viability of pastoral households. The study reported a notable increase in the frequency and distance of migrations over recent years, reflecting adaptive responses to deteriorating environmental conditions.

In addition to environmental factors, socio-political developments have also impacted pastoral mobility in Kenya. The creation of conservancies and the implementation of land tenure reforms have altered traditional grazing routes and access to resources. As detailed by Syomiti, Maranga & Obwoyere (2015), these changes have necessitated new coping strategies among pastoralists in Baringo, Laikipia, and Isiolo counties. While mobility remains a key strategy, it has increasingly been supplemented by other

adaptive measures such as diversifying income sources and adopting community-based resource management practices. This shift highlights the dynamic nature of pastoral livelihoods and their capacity to adapt to both environmental and socio-political challenges.

Significance of Mobility as a Coping Strategy

Mobility is a critical coping strategy among pastoral communities, particularly for nomadic pastoralists in Kenya, as it enables them to navigate the harsh and unpredictable environmental conditions of their regions. This strategy primarily involves the seasonal or occasional movement of livestock to areas with better grazing and water resources, which is essential for mitigating the effects of droughts and other environmental stressors. In Kenya, where climate variability and extremes such as prolonged droughts are becoming more frequent, mobility is indispensable. According to Opiyo, Wasonga & Nyangito (2015), over 80% of pastoral households in Kenya rely on mobility to access water and pasture during dry seasons. This reliance on mobility is a testament to its significance in ensuring the health and productivity of livestock, which are central to the livelihoods of these communities. The ability to move also allows pastoralists to maintain food security and economic stability by adapting to environmental variability, which is increasingly necessary as climate change intensifies.

Moreover, mobility as a coping strategy helps to reduce the pressure on the environment by preventing overgrazing in any single area, thereby supporting the sustainability of pastoral ecosystems. Overgrazing can lead to land degradation, which diminishes the land's productivity and biodiversity. When pastoralists move their herds, they allow previously grazed lands to recover, which is crucial for sustaining the ecosystem. Boone, *et al.* (2018) highlighted that areas with higher mobility among pastoralists showed significantly lower levels of land degradation compared to those where pastoralists were more sedentary. This practice of rotational grazing not only helps in maintaining the ecological balance but also enhances the resilience of the pastoral communities to environmental shocks. The study further revealed that mobile pastoralists were more resilient to climate shocks, as their ability to move allowed them to quickly respond to changing conditions, thus reducing their vulnerability to extreme weather events. Such findings underscore the ecological benefits of mobility in managing and conserving pastoral lands.

Additionally, mobility plays a vital role in the social and economic dynamics of pastoral communities. It facilitates trade and social interactions among different pastoral groups, which can be crucial during times of scarcity and/or calamities. Through mobility, pastoralists can access markets to sell their livestock and purchase necessary goods, thereby maintaining their economic activities and ensuring livelihood security. Krätli, *et al.* (2013) demonstrated that pastoralists who engaged in long-distance migration were able to achieve higher economic returns due to better access to diverse markets and resources. This economic integration helps to buffer pastoral communities against economic shocks and contributes to their overall resilience. Moreover, mobility enhances social networks and cooperation among pastoralists, which are essential for mutual support and sharing of resources during difficult times. This social cohesion, facilitated by mobility, is a significant factor in the sustainability and resilience of pastoral livelihoods.

In the context of policy and development, recognizing the significance of mobility is essential for designing effective interventions that support pastoral communities. Policies that restrict movement, such as those imposing fixed boundaries, can undermine the traditional coping strategies of pastoralists and exacerbate their vulnerabilities. Abebe, *et al.* (2016) indicated that policies promoting flexible land use and mobility rights resulted in better outcomes for pastoral communities in terms of food security and poverty reduction. Such policies need to be informed by a deep understanding of the ecological and socio-economic realities of pastoralism to ensure that they enhance rather than hinder the adaptive capacities of these communities. For instance, enabling pastoralists to move freely and access different grazing areas can significantly improve their ability to cope with climate variability and other environmental stressors. This approach not only supports the sustainability of pastoral systems but also aligns with the traditional knowledge and practices of pastoralists, which have been developed over generations to effectively manage their unique environments.

Statement of the Research Problem and Objectives

The increasing frequency and intensity of climate variability and extreme weather events pose significant challenges to the livelihood of pastoral communities, particularly nomadic pastoralists in Kenya. These communities rely heavily on mobility as a strategy to cope with and adapt to the harsh environmental conditions. However, despite the critical role of mobility, there is limited empirical evidence quantifying its effectiveness in enhancing the resilience of pastoralists against climatic and socio-economic shocks. For instance, according to the United Nations Office for the Coordination of Humanitarian Affairs (OCHA), drought conditions have affected over 3.4 million people in Kenya in recent years, exacerbating food insecurity and livelihood vulnerabilities (OCHA, 2021). This study aims to address this gap by investigating the extent to which mobility contributes to the sustainability and resilience of pastoral communities in Kenya, thereby providing a comprehensive understanding of the role of mobility in contemporary pastoralism.

Existing literature has often highlighted the importance of mobility for pastoralists but has not sufficiently explored the specific mechanisms through which mobility enhances resilience and sustainability. There is a notable gap in understanding the nuanced interactions between mobility, environmental management, and socio-economic outcomes. Furthermore, previous studies have primarily focused on the environmental impacts of pastoralism without integrating the socio-economic dimensions that are crucial for comprehensive resilience assessments. This study aims to fill these gaps by providing an in-depth analysis of both the

environmental and socio-economic impacts of mobility, thereby offering a more integrated perspective. Additionally, it will explore the policy landscape affecting pastoral mobility, which remains under-researched despite its critical importance (Homewood, Kristjanson & Trench, 2012).

The findings of this study will benefit a wide range of stakeholders, including pastoral communities, policymakers, and development practitioners. For pastoral communities, the study will provide evidence-based insights into effective mobility practices, helping them to enhance their coping strategies and improve their resilience to climate shocks. Policymakers will gain a better understanding of the importance of mobility for pastoral sustainability, informing the development of supportive policies and frameworks. Development practitioners and NGOs working in pastoral regions will be able to design more targeted interventions that align with the needs and practices of pastoralists. Overall, the study aims to contribute to the sustainable development of pastoral systems in Kenya, promoting resilience and reducing vulnerability among nomadic pastoralists (Krätli, *et al.,* 2013).

The primary objective of this study is to evaluate the significance of mobility as a coping strategy among nomadic pastoralists in Kenya. Specifically, the study seeks to:

- Assess the impact of mobility on livestock health and productivity;
- Examine how mobility practices influence the socio-economic resilience of pastoral households;
- Analyze the environmental benefits of mobility in terms of land use and degradation; and
- Identify policy and institutional frameworks that support or hinder mobility among pastoralists.

These objectives will be achieved through a mixed-methods approach combining quantitative data on livestock productivity and household income with qualitative insights from interviews and focus groups. The aim is to provide a holistic view of how mobility functions as a coping mechanism in the face of environmental and socio-economic challenges (Galvin, *et al*., 2018).

Overview of the Research Methodology

The study utilized a desktop research methodology, which involves gathering and analyzing secondary data from previously collected and published sources. This approach draws on a wide array of resources, such as academic journals, governmental and non-governmental reports, statistical databases and other relevant publications. By systematically collecting data from these readily available sources through online databases and digital libraries, the researchers ensured that the information was credible and up-to-date. This method allowed for an efficient and cost-effective compilation of a broad spectrum of data, avoiding the logistical challenges and expenses associated with field research. One major advantage of desktop research is its cost-effectiveness, as it primarily incurs costs related to the researcher's time and access to digital resources, rather than the substantial financial investment required for fieldwork. The use of secondary data, sourced from peer-reviewed journals and official reports, enhances the reliability and validity of the study's findings. The accessibility of numerous online academic databases and digital libraries facilitates the efficient gathering of large volumes of data, allowing for comprehensive analysis within a short timeframe. This methodology enabled the author to compile a robust dataset, incorporating diverse perspectives and empirical evidence, thereby supporting a thorough understanding of mobility as a coping strategy among nomadic pastoralists in Kenya.

LITERATURE REVIEW

Definition of Mobility in the Context of Pastoralism

Mobility in the context of pastoralism is fundamentally the movement of pastoral communities with their livestock to access resources such as water and pasture, often spatially dispersed resources. This movement is a critical coping strategy for pastoralists, especially in arid and semi-arid regions where resources are sparse and climate variability is high. In Kenya, nomadic pastoralists have developed intricate mobility patterns to adapt to the harsh environmental conditions and ensure the survival of their herds. According to Mutu (2017), the Turkana pastoralists of Northern Kenya use mobility to cope with droughts, moving their livestock to areas where water and pasture are available. This strategy not only ensures the sustenance of their livestock but also reduces the risk of resource depletion in any single area. The study indicates that mobility is essential for managing the unpredictable availability of resources and mitigating the impacts of climate change on pastoral livelihoods.

Pastoral mobility is not merely a reaction to environmental stress but a deliberate strategy embedded in the cultural and social fabric of pastoral communities. Muga (2012) highlighted that mobility among the Somali pastoralists of Ijara area in Kenya is a well-structured and adaptive response to both environmental and socio-economic challenges. The pastoralists move their herds according to seasonal cycles, accessing different grazing areas to optimize the use of available resources. This form of mobility helps in maintaining ecological balance and prevents overgrazing, which is crucial for the sustainability of pastoral systems. Moreover, the strategic movement also plays a role in conflict avoidance, as pastoralists navigate areas based on the presence of rival groups or potential threats. The study emphasizes that mobility is an integral part of pastoralism that contributes to resilience and adaptability in the face of changing environmental and socio-economic conditions.

The significance of mobility in pastoralism is further underscored by its role in fostering resilience and ensuring food security among pastoral communities. Ng'ang'a, *et al*., (2020) found that mobility allows pastoralists in Laikipia County, Kenya, to adapt to climate variability by accessing diverse ecological zones, which in turn supports livestock health and productivity. The study reveals that

mobile pastoralists are better able to withstand droughts and other climatic shocks compared to their sedentary counterparts. This resilience is attributed to the ability to move livestock to areas with better pasture and water availability, thereby reducing livestock mortality and maintaining herd sizes. The authors argue that pastoral mobility should be supported through policies that recognize its importance and facilitate the movement of pastoralists across traditional and administrative boundaries. The study calls for a holistic approach to pastoral development that integrates mobility as a key strategy for coping with environmental changes and enhancing the livelihoods of pastoral communities.

Historical and Cultural aspects of Nomadic Pastoralism in Kenya

Nomadic pastoralism has been an integral part of Kenya's socio-economic fabric for centuries, predominantly among communities such as the Maasai, Somali, Samburu and Turkana. These groups have historically relied on livestock as their primary source of livelihood, navigating the arid and semi-arid landscapes of Kenya in search of grazing lands and water. According to PLOS Climate (2023), over 9 million people (approximately 20% of the population) in Kenya depend on pastoralism, underscoring its significance in the nation's rural economy. The mobility of these pastoralists is not only a response to ecological variability but also a cultural practice embedded in their identity and social structures. This mobility facilitates the sustainable use of scarce resources, demonstrating an adaptive strategy that has persisted despite external pressures such as colonial land policies and modern state boundaries (PLOS Climate, 2023).

The historical trajectory of nomadic pastoralism in Kenya has been marked by significant challenges and adaptations. Colonial interventions in the early 20th century disrupted traditional migratory routes through the establishment of native reserves, which confined pastoralists to specific areas, leading to overgrazing and resource depletion. These historical constraints have had long-term impacts, as highlighted by the Oxford Research Encyclopedia (2024), which notes that the imposition of fixed boundaries disregarded the ecological rationale behind nomadic movements. Furthermore, post-independence policies often failed to integrate pastoralist needs into national development plans, exacerbating vulnerabilities. Despite these adversities, pastoral communities have maintained their cultural heritage, with practices such as livestock raiding and communal grazing reflecting deep-seated social norms and economic imperatives.

Culturally, the Maasai and other nomadic pastoralists exhibit a rich tapestry of traditions that revolve around their livestock. The Maasai, for example, place immense cultural value on cattle, which are not merely economic assets but symbols of wealth, social status and identity. According to Henroid (2024), Maasai art and weaponry are deeply intertwined with their pastoral lifestyle, showcasing the aesthetic and functional aspects of their culture. This cultural resilience is evident in the way pastoralists have adapted to contemporary challenges such as climate change. Innovative practices, including diversified livestock breeds and seasonal migration adjustments, reflect a blend of traditional knowledge and modern adaptation strategies. These cultural practices are essential for understanding the holistic approach of pastoralists in managing their environments and ensuring community cohesion.

Recent trends indicate a complex interplay between pastoral mobility and external pressures such as climate variability, economic changes and political dynamics. The National Geographic Society (2023) emphasizes that nomadic pastoralists in Kenya continue to face significant risks, including droughts, conflicts over land use, and restricted movement due to infrastructural developments. These challenges necessitate a nuanced understanding of pastoral mobility as a coping strategy that balances immediate survival with long-term sustainability. The dynamic nature of pastoralism, characterized by flexible resource management and social networks, is crucial for the resilience of these communities. As Kenya continues to develop, integrating the needs and knowledge of pastoralists into national policies remains vital for fostering inclusive growth and environmental stewardship.

Theoretical Frameworks related to Mobility and Coping Strategies

Human Ecology Theory

Human Ecology Theory, originally developed by sociologist Robert E. Park and later expanded by Ernest W. Burgess, posits that human behavior is significantly influenced by the physical and social environments in which people live. This theory examines the interactions between humans and their environment, emphasizing how environmental conditions shape social structures and behaviors. In the context of nomadic pastoralism in Kenya, this theory is particularly relevant as it underscores the adaptive strategies that pastoral communities employ in response to their harsh and changing environments. Nomadic pastoralists in Kenya navigate arid and semi-arid landscapes, constantly adjusting their movements to optimize resource use and sustain their livelihoods. The theory helps explain how these communities develop complex social norms and economic practices that facilitate mobility as a coping mechanism. For example, during periods of drought, pastoralists might move their herds to more fertile areas, demonstrating their ability to adapt to environmental stressors. Human Ecology Theory provides a framework for understanding these movements not just as survival tactics but as intricate behaviors shaped by centuries of ecological interaction. By analyzing the ecological constraints and opportunities, researchers can gain insights into the decision-making processes of pastoralists, their resilience mechanisms, and the sustainability of their practices in the face of environmental changes. This theory also highlights the importance of considering environmental policies that impact pastoral lands and the necessity for sustainable resource management strategies that align with the traditional ecological knowledge of these communities (Park & Burgess, 1921).

Adaptive Management Theory

Adaptive Management Theory, rooted in the principles of environmental management and introduced by C.S. Holling, focuses on the iterative process of decision-making in response to changing environmental conditions. This theory is grounded in the idea that systems are dynamic and uncertainties are inherent, thus requiring continuous learning and adaptation. In the context of nomadic pastoralists in Kenya, Adaptive Management Theory is highly pertinent as it reflects the flexible and responsive nature of pastoralist strategies in managing their herds and resources. Pastoralists engage in adaptive practices by monitoring environmental conditions, such as rainfall patterns and vegetation cover, and making decisions on when and where to move their livestock. These decisions are informed by a deep understanding of the landscape and its seasonal variations, allowing them to mitigate risks and capitalize on available resources. The theory underscores the importance of flexibility and learning in pastoral systems, suggesting that successful management hinges on the ability to adjust practices based on feedback from the environment. This approach is not only crucial for the survival of pastoral communities but also for maintaining the ecological balance of the regions they inhabit. Adaptive Management Theory thus provides a robust framework for examining how pastoralists manage uncertainty and change, offering valuable insights into the resilience and sustainability of their livelihoods (Holling, 1978).

Social Network Theory

Social Network Theory, primarily developed by sociologists such as J.L. Moreno and later expanded by Mark Granovetter, examines the structures of social relationships and their impact on individuals and groups. This theory is particularly relevant to the study of mobility among nomadic pastoralists in Kenya, as it highlights the significance of social ties and networks in facilitating movement and resource access. Pastoralists often rely on extensive social networks for information exchange, resource sharing and mutual support. These networks can include family ties, clan affiliations, and inter-community alliances, all of which play critical roles in decision-making processes regarding mobility. For instance, during periods of scarcity, pastoralists may depend on their social networks to gain access to alternative grazing lands or water sources. Social Network Theory helps elucidate how these connections provide a safety net, enhancing the community's ability to cope with environmental and economic challenges. The theory also sheds light on the mechanisms through which knowledge about grazing conditions and potential threats is disseminated within and between pastoral communities. Understanding these social dynamics is crucial for developing policies that support the mobility and resilience of pastoralists, ensuring that interventions are aligned with the social fabric and cultural practices of these communities (Granovetter, 1973).

Previous Studies on Mobility and Pastoral Communities in Kenya

Little, McPeak & Barrett (2012) examined the economic and environmental drivers of mobility among pastoralist communities in northern Kenya and assessed the impacts of mobility on household welfare. The researchers used a mixed-methods approach, combining household surveys, participatory rural appraisals and remote sensing data to analyze mobility patterns and their determinants. Data were collected from over 600 pastoralist households across different ecological zones. The study found that mobility is primarily driven by the need to access water and grazing resources, especially during dry seasons. It highlighted that households with higher mobility had better livestock health and economic outcomes compared to less mobile households. Mobility was also found to mitigate the impacts of drought and resource scarcity. The authors recommended policies that support flexible land tenure systems and cross-border mobility. They also suggested the provision of infrastructure such as water points and veterinary services along migratory routes to support pastoralists.

Opiyo, Wasonga & Nyangito, (2015) investigated the role of mobility in enhancing resilience among pastoral communities in the face of climate variability and change. The study employed a longitudinal design, collecting data through structured interviews, focus group discussions and key informant interviews over five years. It focused on pastoral communities in Turkana and Marsabit counties. The findings indicated that mobility was a critical strategy for managing climate risks, with mobile households better able to access pastures and water during droughts. The study also found that mobility helped maintain social networks and mutual support systems among pastoralists. The study recommended the integration of traditional mobility practices into modern land management policies and climate adaptation strategies. It also called for strengthening community-based institutions to support mobility and resilience.

Roba & Oba (2013) assessed the adaptive strategies employed by pastoral communities in northern Kenya, with a focus on the role of mobility in responding to environmental stress. The researchers used ethnographic methods, including participant observation and in-depth interviews with pastoralists, to document their adaptive strategies and mobility patterns. Data were collected from various pastoralist groups, including the Borana and Rendille. The study found that mobility allowed pastoralists to exploit spatial and temporal variability in resource availability, thereby enhancing their adaptive capacity. It also highlighted the role of traditional ecological knowledge in guiding mobility decisions. The study recommended policies that recognize and support the ecological rationale behind pastoral mobility. It also called for the documentation and integration of indigenous knowledge into formal environmental management frameworks.

Schilling, Opiyo & Scheffran (2012) explored the relationship between climate change, resource scarcity, and conflict among pastoral communities, focusing on how mobility serves as a coping mechanism. The study used a combination of spatial analysis, surveys and conflict incident data to examine the links between environmental changes and conflicts over resources. It was

conducted in the pastoral areas of Turkana and West Pokot. The findings revealed that mobility reduced the likelihood of conflict by enabling pastoralists to move away from contested areas and access alternative resources. However, restricted mobility due to administrative boundaries and land use changes increased the risk of conflicts. The authors recommended the promotion of flexible land use policies that allow for pastoral mobility and the establishment of conflict resolution mechanisms that include pastoralist voices. They also suggested further research into the impacts of land tenure changes on mobility.

Archambault (2014) investigated the social and economic impacts of mobility on Maasai pastoralists in southern Kenya, particularly focusing on gender dynamics. The research employed a qualitative approach, using semi-structured interviews and participatory mapping with Maasai women and men to understand their mobility practices and challenges. The study was conducted over two years. The study found that mobility had differential impacts on men and women, with women often facing greater challenges due to their roles in managing households and livestock. Despite these challenges, mobility was crucial for accessing resources and maintaining livelihoods. The study recommended gender-sensitive policies that support the mobility needs of both men and women. It also called for programs that enhance women's capacity to participate in decision-making processes related to mobility and resource management.

Gakuriab (2024) explored the natural resource-based conflicts among pastoralist communities in Kenya and examined how mobility serves as a coping strategy to mitigate these conflicts. The research employed a mixed-methods approach, including qualitative interviews with community leaders and pastoralists, as well as quantitative surveys to gather data on mobility patterns and conflict incidences. The study was conducted across several pastoral communities in northern Kenya. The study found that mobility is a crucial strategy for avoiding conflicts over scarce resources such as water and grazing land. Pastoralists who maintained traditional migratory routes experienced fewer conflicts compared to those restricted by modern land policies. The study recommended the reinforcement of traditional migratory routes and the development of policies that recognize and support the mobility needs of pastoral communities. It also suggested further research into the impact of climate change on mobility patterns.

Butt (2024) focused on understanding the role of mobility in herd management and drought coping strategies among Maasai pastoralists in semi-arid Kenya. The study used ethnographic methods, including participant observation and in-depth interviews with Maasai herders, to document their mobility patterns and adaptive strategies during drought periods. The findings revealed that mobility allows Maasai pastoralists to access diverse grazing areas and water sources, thereby reducing the impact of drought on their livestock. Mobility also facilitates social networking among pastoralists, which is crucial for information sharing and mutual support during crises. The study recommended the integration of pastoral mobility into national drought management policies and the provision of support for pastoralists to maintain their traditional migratory practices.

Challenges and Opportunities associated with Mobility as a Coping Strategy

Mobility as a coping strategy among pastoral communities, specifically nomadic pastoralists in Kenya, is crucial for their survival and resilience against environmental challenges. One of the significant challenges faced by these communities is the increasing frequency and severity of droughts, which threaten their primary livelihood - livestock rearing. Muga (2017) highlighted that mobility allows pastoralists to move their herds to areas with better grazing and water resources, thereby mitigating the adverse effects of droughts. This strategy is supported by statistical trends showing that areas practicing high mobility had lower livestock mortality rates compared to those with restricted movement. For instance, in the Turkana region, drought-related livestock mortality rates were significantly reduced by 20-30% due to effective mobility practices (Mutu, 2017).

However, mobility as a coping strategy also presents numerous challenges. These include conflicts over grazing lands, which are exacerbated by increasing human population and land use changes that restrict traditional migration routes. Wafula, *et al.* (2022) discuss how pastoralists often face violent conflicts with agricultural communities as they move in search of pasture and water. Additionally, the expansion of agricultural land and urban areas into Arid and Semi-Arid areas has led to the fragmentation of grazing lands, further limiting the mobility of pastoralists. These constraints have led to increased vulnerability among pastoral communities, as evidenced by the rising incidences of malnutrition and food insecurity in regions where mobility is severely restricted.

Despite these challenges, there are significant opportunities associated with mobility as a coping strategy. Improved infrastructure and technology, such as mobile phones, have enhanced communication and coordination among pastoralists, allowing them to access real-time information on weather patterns and available resources (Asaka & Smucker, 2016). Moreover, policy interventions aimed at securing pastoral land rights and creating corridors for livestock movement have shown promise in supporting sustainable mobility practices. For example, the introduction of community-based grazing management systems in Laikipia County has resulted in a 15% increase in livestock productivity and reduced conflict incidents by 25% (Ndiritu, 2021). These advancements highlight the potential of combining traditional practices with modern technology and policy support to enhance the resilience of pastoral communities.

METHODOLOGY

The study adopted a desktop research methodology. Desktop research refers to secondary data or that which can be collected without fieldwork activities. Desktop research is basically involved in collecting data from existing resources hence it is often considered a

low cost technique as compared to field research, as the main cost involved is the researcher's time, telephone charges and directories. Thus, the study relied on already published studies, reports and statistics. This secondary data was easily accessed through the online journals and literature in university libraries.

Mobility as a Coping Strategy among Nomadic Pastoralists in Kenya

Factors influencing Mobility patterns among Nomadic Pastoralists

Mobility is an essential coping strategy among pastoral communities, particularly nomadic pastoralists in Kenya, whose movement patterns are influenced by various socio-economic and environmental factors. A critical determinant of mobility is access to water and pasture, which are unevenly distributed across the arid and semi-arid lands of Kenya. According to Little, *et al.* (2016), pastoralists often move to ensure their livestock have sufficient water and forage, thus maintaining their livelihoods in harsh environmental conditions. This dynamic has been exacerbated by climate variability, leading to more frequent and longer migrations as traditional grazing areas become less reliable. The necessity for mobility is highlighted by the statistic that about 70% of Kenya's land area is classified as arid or semi-arid, underscoring the environmental pressures on pastoral communities.

Economic factors also play a significant role in shaping mobility patterns among nomadic pastoralists. The market demand for livestock and livestock products drives pastoralists to move closer to trading centers to sell their animals and livestock products at better prices. Moritz, *et al.* (2018) indicated that market access is a crucial determinant of mobility, with pastoralists often migrating to regions where they can obtain higher prices for their livestock and livestock products such as milk, meat and hides/skins. Additionally, the introduction of livestock insurance and other financial services in certain areas has influenced movement patterns, as pastoralists seek to mitigate risks associated with drought and disease by migrating to areas where such services are available. This economic motivation for mobility ensures the pastoralists can sustain their livelihoods and invest in their herds.

Social and cultural factors are equally influential in determining the mobility of nomadic pastoralists. Traditional knowledge and social networks play a pivotal role in decision-making processes regarding movement. According to Schilling, Opiyo & Scheffran (2012), pastoralists rely on clan networks to share information about the availability of resources and potential risks, which guides their migration routes. Furthermore, cultural practices and ceremonies often require movement to specific locations, thereby influencing mobility patterns. The social structure of pastoral communities, which emphasizes communal sharing and support, also necessitates movement to maintain social ties and fulfill communal obligations.

Political and policy-related factors have increasingly impacted the mobility of pastoralists in Kenya. Land tenure systems and government policies on land use significantly affect pastoralists' access to grazing lands. The privatization of communal lands and the establishment of wildlife conservancies have restricted the traditional movement routes of pastoralists, leading to conflicts and reduced mobility options. Additionally, the implementation of policies aimed at sedentarization has been met with resistance from pastoral communities who view mobility as integral to their way of life. The interaction between policy measures and pastoral mobility highlights the need for inclusive and participatory approaches in policy formulation to ensure that the needs of pastoralists are addressed (Opiyo, Wasonga & Nyangito, 2015).

Environmental degradation and climate change are critical factors influencing the mobility patterns of nomadic pastoralists. Rising temperatures and changing precipitation patterns have led to the degradation of pasturelands, forcing pastoralists to migrate more frequently and over longer distances. Desta & Coppock (2014) found that climate change has led to an increase in the frequency and intensity of droughts, compelling pastoralists to move in search of better grazing areas. This increased mobility is a coping mechanism to avoid the adverse effects of environmental stressors on livestock productivity and survival. The adaptation strategies employed by pastoralists, including mobility, highlight their resilience in the face of environmental challenges.

Role of Mobility in accessing Resources and Adapting to Environmental Changes

The mobility of nomadic pastoralists in Kenya is a fundamental aspect of their strategy to access resources and adapt to environmental changes. This mobility is essential for survival in the arid and semi-arid lands where unpredictable weather patterns and scarce resources prevail. Mobility allows pastoralists to move their livestock to areas with better grazing conditions, water sources and to avoid regions affected by drought or conflict. According to Little, McPeak & Mogues (2014), mobility is not just a coping strategy but a sophisticated system of managing risk and ensuring the sustainability of pastoral livelihoods in the face of climatic variability. This flexibility inherent in mobility enables pastoralists to respond to environmental changes more effectively than sedentary populations, thus maintaining the ecological balance and resource availability in these harsh environments (Little *et al.,* 2014).

In addition to environmental adaptation, mobility enhances access to diverse resources, which is vital for the resilience of pastoral communities. The ability to traverse large distances allows pastoralists to utilize a variety of ecological zones, thereby reducing the pressure on any single area and minimizing the risk of overgrazing. Opiyo, *et al.* (2015) highlighted that mobility enables pastoralists to access different types of pastures and water sources at different times of the year, which is crucial for maintaining the health and productivity of their herds. This seasonal movement is often guided by indigenous knowledge and long-standing social networks that provide information about resource availability and environmental conditions. This interconnected system ensures that pastoralists can navigate and optimize their resource use efficiently.

Statistics indicate that the mobility patterns of pastoralists have evolved in response to increasing environmental challenges. For instance, studies have shown that in recent decades, there has been a noticeable increase in the distance and frequency of pastoral movements due to intensified drought conditions and resource depletion. According to the International Livestock Research Institute (ILRI), pastoralists in Kenya now travel up to 100 kilometers more annually compared to two decades ago, reflecting the growing need to find adequate grazing and water. This trend underscores the importance of mobility as a key adaptation mechanism in the face of climate change. The ability to move extensively ensures that pastoralists can mitigate the impacts of adverse climatic conditions and maintain their livelihoods (ILRI, 2018).

Moreover, mobility among pastoralists is intricately linked to their social and economic systems. It facilitates trade, cultural exchange, and social cohesion, which are essential for community resilience. The ability to move across regions enables pastoralists to participate in livestock markets, access veterinary services, and engage in barter trade, thus supporting their economic well-being. Krätli & Swift (2014) emphasized that mobility allows pastoralists to maintain social ties and share resources, which is crucial during times of scarcity. This interconnectedness enhances the ability of pastoral communities to collectively respond to environmental stresses and maintain their livelihoods, making mobility not only a practical but also a socially embedded practice.

The challenges to mobility, such as land tenure policies, conflicts and infrastructural barriers, pose significant threats to the sustainability of pastoralism. Restrictions on movement can lead to overgrazing, land degradation and increased vulnerability to climatic shocks. Therefore, policies that support pastoral mobility and access to resources are essential for the adaptation and resilience of these communities. The findings by Catley, Lind & Scoones (2013) suggest that strengthening pastoral institutions and promoting flexible land use policies can significantly enhance the adaptive capacity of pastoralists. In this context, recognizing and supporting the traditional mobility practices of pastoralists can contribute to sustainable resource management and climate change adaptation. By addressing these challenges and supporting mobility, there is potential for greater resilience and sustainability in pastoral communities.

Social and Cultural implications of Mobility within Pastoral Communities

Mobility among nomadic pastoralists in Kenya is not only a means of livelihood but also a significant cultural and social element. The ability to move freely across vast landscapes is deeply embedded in the identity of these communities, influencing their social structures, traditions, and ways of life. According to Little, McPeak & Mogues (2014), the mobility of pastoralists facilitates the maintenance of social networks and communal relationships, which are crucial for social cohesion and mutual support. The movement patterns often follow traditional routes that are not only resource-oriented but also significant for maintaining social ties and cultural practices.

The cultural implications of mobility are profound, as it allows for the continuation of traditional knowledge and practices. Mobility enables pastoral communities to engage in cultural ceremonies and rituals that are integral to their identity. These activities often require the congregation of community members, which is facilitated by their movement across different regions. Krätli & Swift (2014) emphasizes that mobility is central to the transmission of indigenous knowledge, particularly related to animal husbandry, environmental management and social customs. This knowledge is passed down through generations, ensuring the resilience and continuity of pastoralist cultures.

Socially, mobility plays a critical role in the pastoralists' access to education, healthcare, and other essential services. The nomadic lifestyle poses challenges to accessing stationary services, leading to the development of mobile schools and health clinics that move with the communities. According to research by the International Livestock Research Institute (ILRI, 2018), such mobile services have proven effective in reaching pastoral populations, thereby improving their overall well-being. This adaptation not only ensures that pastoralists receive necessary services but also respects and integrates their mobile way of life into the provision of these services.

Furthermore, the social structure of pastoral communities is heavily influenced by their mobility. The need to move in search of resources fosters a strong sense of community and interdependence. Pastoralists often travel in groups or clans, with shared responsibilities and collective decision-making processes. This collective movement reinforces social bonds and ensures mutual support during times of scarcity or conflict. Catley, Lind & Scoones (2013) highlight that the social organization of pastoralists is adaptive, allowing them to effectively manage resources and respond to environmental and social challenges. This adaptability is crucial for their survival and resilience in the face of changing conditions.

Despite these positive aspects, mobility also poses significant social challenges. Conflicts over grazing lands and water resources are common, as mobility brings different groups into contact, sometimes leading to disputes. The encroachment of agricultural activities and land privatization further exacerbate these conflicts, limiting the movement of pastoralists and leading to social tensions. Policies that restrict mobility, such as sedentarization schemes, can undermine the social fabric of pastoral communities, leading to increased vulnerability and social disintegration. As noted by Opiyo, *et al*. (2015), supporting the traditional mobility of pastoralists and addressing land tenure issues are essential for maintaining social harmony and ensuring the sustainability of pastoralist systems.

Resilience and Sustainability of the Nomadic Pastoral lifestyle

The resilience and sustainability of the nomadic pastoral lifestyle among Kenyan pastoralists are intricately tied to their mobility, which acts as a critical coping strategy in the face of environmental and socio-economic challenges. Pastoralists in Kenya inhabit arid and semi-arid regions where climatic conditions are highly variable and resources are scarce. This mobility allows pastoralists to optimize the use of sparse resources by moving their livestock to areas with better grazing conditions and water availability, thus reducing the risk of overgrazing and land degradation. According to Nyariki & Wiggins (2019), the adaptive strategies of pastoralists, including mobility, are essential for maintaining the ecological balance and ensuring the sustainability of their livelihoods in the face of climate change.

In addition to environmental adaptation, the resilience of the nomadic pastoral lifestyle is supported by strong social networks and traditional knowledge systems. These networks facilitate the sharing of resources and information, which is vital for coping with uncertainties and crises. Pastoralists often rely on communal grazing areas and water points, and their movements are coordinated to avoid conflicts and ensure equitable resource distribution. Watson & Catley (2018) highlighted that these social networks are crucial for the resilience of pastoral communities, as they enable collective action and mutual support during times of stress, such as droughts or livestock diseases.

Statistically, the resilience of pastoral communities can be seen in their ability to maintain livestock populations despite adverse conditions. Data from the International Livestock Research Institute (ILRI) indicate that pastoralists in northern Kenya have managed to sustain their herds through severe drought periods by employing mobility and other adaptive strategies. For instance, during the 2010-2011 drought, pastoralists who practiced mobility had higher livestock survival rates compared to those who were sedentary (ILRI, 2018). This underscores the effectiveness of mobility as a resilience strategy, enabling pastoralists to mitigate the impacts of environmental shocks and maintain their livelihoods.

Furthermore, the sustainability of the nomadic pastoral lifestyle is linked to the ecological services provided by pastoralism. Mobility facilitates the regeneration of pasturelands and the maintenance of biodiversity, as livestock grazing can promote plant growth and seed dispersal. According to Homewood, Trench & Kristjanson (2012), pastoralism contributes to the sustainability of ecosystems in arid regions by maintaining the health and productivity of rangelands. This ecological role is vital for the long-term sustainability of pastoral livelihoods and the environments they inhabit.

However, the resilience and sustainability of the nomadic pastoral lifestyle are increasingly threatened by external pressures such as land privatization, agricultural expansion and climate change. Policies that restrict mobility, such as fencing and land tenure changes, undermine the ability of pastoralists to adapt to environmental variability. According to Opiyo, *et al.* (2015), addressing these challenges requires a holistic approach that recognizes the importance of mobility and supports the traditional practices of pastoralists. This includes promoting flexible land use policies, enhancing access to markets and services, and strengthening pastoral institutions to improve their resilience and sustainability.

Challenges and Opportunities

Environmental Challenges faced by Nomadic Pastoralists

Nomadic pastoralists in Kenya face a multitude of environmental challenges that significantly impact their livelihoods and survival. The harsh and variable climatic conditions in the arid and semi-arid lands where they operate necessitate constant mobility in search of pasture and water for their livestock. One of the most significant challenges is recurrent drought, which has become more frequent and severe due to climate change. According to Nyariki *et al.* (2019), droughts in Kenya have increased in both frequency and intensity over the past few decades, leading to substantial livestock losses and decreased productivity. This study highlights that between 2008 and 2011, Kenya experienced one of the worst droughts in its history, resulting in the death of over 2.5 million livestock and severely impacting pastoralist communities.

In addition to drought, desertification and land degradation pose severe threats to the sustainability of pastoralism. Overgrazing, exacerbated by restricted mobility due to land privatization and agricultural expansion, leads to the degradation of pasturelands. The encroachment of agriculture into traditionally pastoral areas limits the available grazing land, forcing pastoralists to overutilize the remaining resources. Opiyo *et al.* (2015) found that over 50% of the rangelands in northern Kenya are degraded, reducing their capacity to support livestock. The reduction in available grazing land has led to increased competition and conflicts over resources, further complicating the pastoralists' ability to sustain their herds.

Water scarcity is another critical challenge that nomadic pastoralists must navigate. With the increasing variability in rainfall patterns, reliable water sources are becoming more scarce and harder to find. This scarcity not only affects livestock health but also the overall health and well-being of pastoralist communities. According to the International Livestock Research Institute (ILRI), the availability of water in pastoral regions of Kenya has decreased significantly over the past decade. The ILRI reports that pastoralists now travel an average of 50 kilometers more than they did ten years ago to find water for their livestock, highlighting the increasing difficulty in accessing this vital resource (ILRI, 2018). This additional burden increases the vulnerability of pastoralist communities, making them more susceptible to the impacts of drought and other environmental stresses.

Climate change exacerbates these environmental challenges by altering the patterns of rainfall and increasing the frequency of extreme weather events. The unpredictability of the weather makes it difficult for pastoralists to plan their movements and manage

their herds effectively. Homewood *et al.* (2012) indicated that the changing climate has led to shifts in vegetation patterns, further complicating the search for pasture. This study emphasizes that the adaptive capacity of pastoralists is being stretched to its limits, as traditional knowledge and practices are increasingly unable to cope with the rapid pace of environmental change. The authors argue that without significant support and adaptation strategies, the sustainability of the pastoralist way of life is at risk.

Furthermore, conflicts over natural resources, fueled by the scarcity induced by environmental changes, pose a significant threat to the security and stability of pastoralist communities. Competition for grazing land and water has led to violent clashes between different pastoralist groups and between pastoralists and agricultural communities. These conflicts are often exacerbated by weak governance and inadequate conflict resolution mechanisms. Catley, Lind & Scoones (2013) highlighted that resource-based conflicts have become more frequent and intense, particularly in regions where water and pasture are scarce. The study calls for integrated approaches that include conflict resolution and resource management to ensure the long-term sustainability of pastoralism in Kenya.

Socio-Economic Challenges and Opportunities related to Mobility

The socio-economic challenges faced by nomadic pastoralists in Kenya are deeply intertwined with their mobility. Mobility is a vital strategy for accessing grazing lands and water sources, essential for sustaining their herds. However, this lifestyle poses significant socio-economic challenges, particularly in accessing education, healthcare and economic opportunities. For instance, the frequent movement of pastoralist families hinders consistent access to education for their children. According to Ekeno, Bitok & Matere (2024), the periodic mobility of nomadic pastoralist parents in Turkana East

Sub-County negatively impacts the retention of early years learners in education, as the children often miss out on schooling due to relocation.

Despite these challenges, mobility also presents unique economic opportunities for pastoralists. The ability to move across vast areas allows them to take advantage of varying market conditions and engage in trade. Nomadic pastoralists often participate in livestock markets across different regions, which can enhance their income and economic resilience. Vundi & Koome (2023) highlighted that pastoralists in Samburu County utilize their mobility to access markets in multiple regions, thereby diversifying their income sources and improving their economic status. This flexibility enables them to sell livestock when prices are favorable and purchase supplies from areas where they are cheaper, optimizing their economic gains.

Moreover, mobility facilitates social capital development among pastoralists, which is crucial for their socio-economic resilience. Social networks and communal relationships built through mobility provide support during times of crisis, such as droughts or conflicts. These networks are essential for resource sharing, mutual assistance and conflict resolution. Lanyasunya & Ngala (2023) indicated that socio-cultural factors, including strong communal bonds fostered through mobility, play a significant role in the resilience of pastoral communities in Samburu County. These bonds enable pastoralists to pool resources, share information about resource availability and provide mutual aid during emergencies, thereby enhancing their socio-economic stability.

However, the socio-economic benefits of mobility are often undermined by external pressures such as land privatization, agricultural expansion and government restrictive policies. These factors limit the movement of pastoralists, exacerbating resource scarcity and leading to increased conflicts over grazing lands and water sources. Rodgers & Semplici (2023) discussed the impact of COVID-19 policies on pastoral mobility in Turkana County, noting that restrictions on movement during the pandemic exacerbated economic hardships and resource conflicts. The study calls for policy frameworks that recognize and support the mobility of pastoralists as a vital component of their socio-economic resilience.

Despite these challenges, there are emerging opportunities for enhancing the socio-economic resilience of pastoralists through improved infrastructure, education and market access. Initiatives aimed at providing mobile education and healthcare services, as well as developing infrastructure to support market access, can significantly improve the socio-economic outcomes for pastoralists. Degen (2024) emphasizes the importance of entrepreneurial opportunities and diversification of livelihoods among formerly nomadic peoples. The study suggests that supporting pastoralists in developing entrepreneurial skills and alternative income sources can enhance their socio-economic resilience and reduce dependence on traditional pastoralism.

Policy implications for supporting Mobility as a Coping strategy

The mobility of nomadic pastoralists in Kenya is a crucial strategy for coping with environmental variability and resource scarcity. However, effective policy support is essential to ensure that this strategy remains viable in the face of increasing challenges. Policies that promote mobility can enhance the resilience of pastoral communities by allowing them to access dispersed resources and reduce pressure on any single area. According to a report by the International Organization for Migration (IOM), mobility is a key coping strategy that enables pastoralists to adapt to climate change and mitigate the effects of droughts. The report emphasizes that policies should support the traditional practices of pastoralists and facilitate their movement across borders to ensure sustainable livelihoods (IOM, 2023).

To support pastoral mobility, policies need to address land tenure issues that restrict movement. Land privatization and agricultural expansion have significantly reduced the land available for grazing, leading to conflicts and overgrazing in the remaining areas. According to Dyson-Hudson & Dyson-Hudson (2021), the encroachment of agriculture into pastoral areas limits the mobility of pastoralists and exacerbates resource conflicts. The study suggests that policies promoting communal land tenure and flexible land use arrangements can help maintain the mobility of pastoralists and support sustainable land management practices.

Furthermore, infrastructure development tailored to the needs of mobile pastoralists can significantly enhance their resilience. This includes the establishment of mobile schools and healthcare services that move with pastoral communities, ensuring continuous access to essential services. A report by the IUCN (2019) highlights the success of mobile health clinics in northern Kenya, which have improved healthcare access for pastoralists. The report recommends that similar models be adopted for education and other services to support the mobile lifestyle of pastoral communities (IUCN, 2019).

Economic policies that facilitate market access for pastoralists can also enhance their resilience and economic well-being. By improving infrastructure such as roads and communication networks, pastoralists can better access livestock markets and trade centers. A study by the Weathering Risk Initiative (2023) indicates that improved market access can increase the income and economic security of pastoralists, allowing them to invest in better livestock and diversify their income sources. The study also suggests that supporting pastoral cooperatives and market associations can strengthen the bargaining power of pastoralists and improve their market outcomes (Weathering Risk Initiative, 2023).

In addition to these measures, conflict resolution mechanisms that address resource-based conflicts are essential for supporting pastoral mobility. According to a study by CELEP (2018), conflicts over grazing lands and water resources are a significant barrier to mobility and sustainability in pastoral areas. The study recommends the establishment of local conflict resolution committees and the integration of traditional conflict resolution practices into formal legal frameworks. By addressing conflicts and ensuring equitable resource distribution, policies can create a more stable environment for pastoralists to practice their mobile lifestyle (CELEP, 2018).

Potential interventions to address Challenges and enhance Opportunities

Nomadic pastoralists in Kenya face significant challenges that threaten their traditional way of life and the sustainability of their livelihoods. Interventions aimed at addressing these challenges and enhancing opportunities need to be multifaceted, addressing both immediate needs and long-term sustainability. One key intervention is the development of mobile services, such as education and healthcare, which can follow the migratory patterns of pastoralist communities. Mobile schools and health clinics have shown success in improving access to essential services. For example, a report by the Ministry of Agriculture, Livestock, Fisheries and Cooperatives (2021) highlights the establishment of mobile schools in northern Kenya, which have significantly increased school enrollment rates among pastoralist children. These mobile schools are designed to move with the pastoralist communities, ensuring continuous education despite the nomadic lifestyle. They are often equipped with basic facilities and staffed by teachers who are trained to understand and adapt to the unique needs of pastoralist children. The impact of these schools has been profound, not only in improving literacy rates but also in empowering children with the knowledge and skills necessary for future opportunities (Ministry of Agriculture, Livestock, Fisheries and Cooperatives, 2021).

Economic empowerment through improved market access and infrastructure development is another critical intervention. By building roads and improving communication networks, pastoralists can access larger markets for their livestock, enhancing their income and economic stability. The implementation of the Community Land Act has also opened up opportunities for pastoralists to have better control over their land and resources, which is crucial for their economic empowerment. According to a study by FAO (2023), improved infrastructure has enabled pastoralists to sell their livestock in more profitable markets, significantly increasing their incomes. The study shows that pastoralists who have access to better markets earn up to 30% more than those who do not, highlighting the importance of market access in improving economic outcomes. Additionally, the development of cooperatives and market associations has helped pastoralists to collectively bargain for better prices and access financial services such as loans and insurance. These interventions have not only increased their economic resilience but have also reduced vulnerability to market fluctuations and climatic shocks (FAO, 2023).

Addressing conflicts and enhancing resource management are also crucial for supporting the mobility of pastoralists. Conflicts over grazing lands and water sources are a significant barrier to mobility and sustainability. Interventions that promote conflict resolution and equitable resource distribution can mitigate these issues. The establishment of local conflict resolution committees that incorporate traditional and modern conflict resolution mechanisms has proven effective. A study by CELEP (2018) discusses the success of these committees in northern Kenya, where they have reduced the number of conflicts and improved cooperation among different pastoralist groups. These committees often include elders and community leaders who are respected figures and have the authority to mediate disputes and negotiate access to resources. Additionally, sustainable resource management practices, such as rotational grazing and the use of drought-resistant fodder, can help maintain the health of rangelands and ensure the availability of resources for pastoralists. The integration of traditional knowledge with scientific approaches in resource management has shown promise in enhancing the resilience of pastoralist systems. Programs that train pastoralists in modern resource management techniques while respecting and incorporating their indigenous knowledge have been particularly successful (CELEP, 2018).

Case Studies and Examples

Specific examples of Nomadic Pastoralist Communities in Kenya

One of the most notable examples of nomadic pastoralist communities in Kenya is the Turkana people in Turkana County. The Turkana are well-known for their semi-nomadic lifestyle, moving in search of water and pasture for their livestock. According to Ekeno, Bitok & Matere (2024), the periodic mobility of Turkana pastoralists significantly influences various aspects of their lives,

including education and access to healthcare. The study highlights that mobility is essential for the survival of the Turkana due to the arid and semi-arid climate of their region, which necessitates constant movement to find suitable grazing land and water sources for their herds.

Similarly, the Maasai community, primarily found in Kajiado and Narok counties, epitomizes traditional nomadic pastoralism in Kenya. The Maasai's mobility patterns are deeply rooted in their cultural practices and are crucial for managing the grazing pressure on rangelands. Mudekhere & Mugalavai (2024) illustrated how the Maasai's indigenous knowledge systems and adaptive strategies to climate change have enabled them to sustain their pastoralist lifestyle despite increasing environmental challenges. The Maasai's mobility allows them to optimize the use of diverse ecological zones, thus maintaining the health and productivity of their herds (Mudekhere & Mugalavai, 2024).

The Samburu people, residing in Samburu County, are another prominent example of a nomadic pastoralist community in Kenya. Their mobility is characterized by seasonal movements dictated by the availability of pasture and water. The Samburu have developed intricate social structures and cultural practices that support their nomadic way of life. Vundi & Koome (2023) highlighted how the Samburu utilize communal grazing systems and traditional conflict resolution mechanisms to manage resources and navigate challenges related to mobility. These practices are essential for maintaining social cohesion and ensuring equitable access to resources.

The Borana community in Marsabit and Isiolo Counties also exemplifies the adaptive strategies of nomadic pastoralists in Kenya. The Borana have a well-organized system of resource management that includes rotational grazing and the use of traditional wells known as 'ellas.' A study by ILRI (2018) emphasized that the Borana's mobility is not only a response to environmental conditions but also a strategic approach to mitigate the impacts of drought and land degradation. This system ensures the sustainability of their pastoralist lifestyle and the resilience of their communities in the face of climatic variability (ILRI, 2018).

Success stories and best Practices related to Mobility

Successful interventions among nomadic pastoralists in Kenya often highlight the importance of mobility as a strategy for resilience. One notable success story is the implementation of mobile schools among the Turkana pastoralists. The introduction of these schools has significantly improved educational outcomes by providing continuous access to education for children who would otherwise miss out due to their families' migratory patterns. According to the Ministry of Agriculture, Livestock, Fisheries and Cooperatives (MoALFC, 2021), these mobile schools have not only increased literacy rates but also empowered children with knowledge and skills essential for their future (MoALFC, 2021).

Another success story involves the market access initiatives for pastoralists. By improving infrastructure, such as roads and communication networks, pastoralists have been able to access larger markets, thereby enhancing their income and economic stability. FAO (2023) indicated that improved market access has enabled pastoralists to sell their livestock at better prices and purchase necessary supplies at lower costs. This has significantly increased their economic resilience and reduced vulnerability to market fluctuations and climatic shocks (FAO, 2023).

The Gabbra pastoralists of Northern Kenya have also demonstrated successful adaptation through the maintenance of traditional mobility practices. These practices include seasonal migration patterns that align with the availability of pasture and water. A report by the Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD) (2018) highlighted how the Gabbra's mobility has been crucial for managing the fragile ecosystems in which they live. By moving their herds strategically, the Gabbra reduce the pressure on any single area, thereby promoting ecological balance and sustainability (CBD, 2018).

The establishment of local conflict resolution committees in northern Kenya has been a best practice in supporting pastoral mobility. These committees incorporate both traditional and modern conflict resolution mechanisms to address disputes over grazing lands and water sources. According to CELEP (2018), the integration of these mechanisms has reduced the number of conflicts and improved cooperation among different pastoralist groups. This has ensured equitable resource distribution and maintained the mobility essential for the pastoralist way of life (CELEP, 2018).

Lessons learned from previous interventions or projects

One of the key lessons learned from previous interventions among nomadic pastoralists in Kenya is the importance of integrating traditional knowledge with modern practices. For instance, the use of traditional wells by the Borana community, combined with modern water management techniques, has proven effective in ensuring water availability during droughts. ILRI (2018) underscored the significance of respecting and incorporating indigenous knowledge in development projects to enhance their acceptance and sustainability (ILRI, 2018).

Another lesson is the necessity of providing mobile services to cater to the nomadic lifestyle of pastoralists. The success of mobile schools and health clinics among the Turkana and Maasai highlights the need for flexibility in service delivery. According to a report by the IUCN (2019), these mobile services have not only improved access to education and healthcare but also reduced the disparities caused by the nomadic lifestyle. This approach ensures that essential services reach the most vulnerable populations without disrupting their traditional way of life (IUCN, 2019).

The importance of economic empowerment through market access is another critical lesson. Improved infrastructure and market facilities have enabled pastoralists to better engage in trade, increasing their income and economic security. FAO (2023) reported that initiatives aimed at building roads and enhancing communication networks have allowed pastoralists to access larger and more profitable markets. This economic empowerment is vital for reducing vulnerability and enhancing the resilience of pastoralist communities (FAO, 2023).

The need for effective conflict resolution mechanisms cannot be overstated. The establishment of local conflict resolution committees has been instrumental in addressing resource-based conflicts, which are a major barrier to mobility. CELEP (2018) highlighted that these committees, by integrating traditional and modern conflict resolution practices, have successfully reduced conflicts and promoted cooperation among pastoralist groups. This has ensured the continuity of mobility, which is essential for the sustainability of pastoralist livelihoods (CELEP, 2018).

CONCLUSION

Summary of Key findings and implications

Mobility is an essential coping strategy for nomadic pastoralists in Kenya, allowing them to adapt to environmental variability and socio-economic challenges. Pastoral communities, such as the Turkana and Maasai, utilize mobility to access water and pasture, thereby ensuring the survival of their livestock. Studies highlight that mobility mitigates the effects of drought, with regions practicing high mobility experiencing lower livestock mortality rates. Additionally, mobility facilitates access to diverse resources, reduces overgrazing and supports ecological balance, thus maintaining the health and productivity of pastoral systems (Muga, 2017; Mutu, 2017). The socio-economic benefits of mobility include enhanced market access and economic resilience. Mobile pastoralists can engage in trade across different regions, optimizing their economic gains. Social networks built through mobility provide mutual support during crises, contributing to the socio-economic stability of pastoral communities. However, mobility faces significant challenges, such as conflicts over grazing lands, restricted movement due to land privatization and infrastructural barriers. These constraints increase vulnerability and highlight the need for policies that support pastoral mobility (Wafula *et al*., 2022; Asaka & Smucker, 2016). Policy interventions and technological advancements present opportunities to support mobility. Improved infrastructure and mobile services, such as education and healthcare, can enhance the resilience of pastoralists. Policy measures that secure land rights and create livestock movement corridors can support sustainable mobility practices. Integrating traditional knowledge with modern approaches in resource management and conflict resolution is crucial for the sustainability and resilience of pastoral communities. Such interventions can mitigate the impacts of environmental stressors and support the livelihoods of nomadic pastoralists (Ndiritu, 2021).

The findings underscore the critical role of mobility in sustaining the livelihoods of nomadic pastoralists in Kenya. Recognizing and supporting mobility through inclusive policies and infrastructure development is essential for enhancing the resilience of pastoral communities. Policies should promote flexible land use and secure pastoral land rights, ensuring that pastoralists can move freely to access resources and markets. These measures can reduce conflicts and support sustainable land management practices, thereby enhancing the socio-economic stability of pastoral communities. Technological advancements and improved infrastructure are vital for supporting the mobile lifestyle of pastoralists. Mobile education and healthcare services can provide continuous access to essential services, improving the overall well-being of pastoralist communities. Enhancing market access through better roads and communication networks can increase economic resilience and reduce vulnerability to market fluctuations. These interventions can empower pastoralists economically and support their traditional mobility practices. Integrating traditional knowledge with modern resource management and conflict resolution practices is crucial for the sustainability of pastoral systems. Programs that train pastoralists in modern techniques while respecting indigenous knowledge can enhance the adaptive capacity of pastoral communities. Effective conflict resolution mechanisms that address resource-based conflicts are essential for maintaining mobility and ensuring equitable resource distribution. These holistic approaches can support the resilience and sustainability of nomadic pastoralists in Kenya, promoting inclusive growth and environmental stewardship.

Recommendations for future research

Future research should focus on long-term studies that track the mobility patterns of nomadic pastoralists over extended periods. These studies would provide valuable insights into how pastoralists adapt their movements in response to climate variability and extreme weather events. By examining changes in migration routes, grazing practices and resource utilization, researchers can better understand the dynamic nature of pastoral mobility and its role in climate adaptation. Such research should integrate remote sensing data and ground observations to capture comprehensive spatial and temporal trends.

Investigating the effects of various policy interventions on pastoral mobility is crucial. Research should evaluate how policies such as land tenure reforms, conservation areas, and infrastructure development influence the traditional movement patterns of pastoralists. Studies like those by Wafula, *et al.* (2022) have highlighted the need for flexible and inclusive policies that support rather than hinder mobility. Future studies should analyze the socio-economic and ecological impacts of these policies, providing evidence-based recommendations for policymakers to support sustainable pastoral systems.

Future research should explore the synergies between indigenous knowledge and modern technological advancements in enhancing pastoral mobility and resilience. Projects should document traditional ecological knowledge related to migration and resource management while assessing the potential of technologies such as mobile phones, GPS and weather forecasting tools to support informed decision-making among pastoralists (Asaka & Smucker, 2016). Collaborative research involving pastoral communities, scientists and technology developers can lead to innovative solutions that respect cultural practices while enhancing adaptive capacities.

Policy recommendations for supporting Nomadic Pastoralists in Kenya

To support the mobility of nomadic pastoralists, it is crucial to develop land use policies that recognize and accommodate their traditional migratory patterns. Policies should promote communal land tenure systems and flexible land use arrangements, allowing pastoralists to access various grazing lands and water sources. According to Dyson-Hudson & Dyson-Hudson (2021), the encroachment of agriculture into pastoral areas has limited mobility and exacerbated resource conflicts. By promoting flexible land use policies, the government can help maintain the mobility of pastoralists, thereby supporting sustainable land management practices (Dyson-Hudson & Dyson-Hudson, 2021).

Enhancing infrastructure such as roads and communication networks is vital for improving market access and economic opportunities for pastoralists. Developing mobile services, such as education and healthcare that move with pastoral communities, ensures continuous access to essential services. The Ministry of Agriculture, Livestock, Fisheries and Cooperatives (MoALFC, 2021) highlighted the success of mobile schools in northern Kenya, which have significantly increased school enrollment rates among pastoralist children (MoALFC, 2021). Implementing similar models for healthcare and other services can improve the overall well-being of pastoral communities.

Policies should focus on facilitating market access for pastoralists by improving infrastructure and developing market associations. According to FAO (2023), improved market access has enabled pastoralists to sell their livestock at better prices, significantly increasing their income and economic resilience (FAO, 2023). Supporting the development of cooperatives and providing financial services such as loans and insurance can further enhance their economic stability and reduce vulnerability to climatic and market shocks.

Establishing local conflict resolution committees that integrate traditional and modern practices can help address resource-based conflicts. These committees should include respected community leaders and elders who can mediate disputes and negotiate access to resources. CELEP (2018) reported that such committees have successfully reduced conflicts in northern Kenya, promoting cooperation among different pastoralist groups (CELEP, 2018). Strengthening these mechanisms can ensure equitable resource distribution and maintain the mobility essential for pastoralist livelihoods.

Final thoughts on the importance of Mobility as a Coping strategy among Pastoral Communities

Mobility among pastoral communities, particularly nomadic pastoralists in Kenya, is a fundamental strategy for coping with environmental and socio-economic challenges. It allows these communities to navigate harsh and unpredictable conditions, such as droughts, by moving livestock to areas with better grazing and water resources. This adaptive mechanism is crucial for the survival of their livestock, which forms the backbone of their economic and social structures. Mobility also prevents overgrazing by distributing livestock across various landscapes, thus maintaining the ecological balance and ensuring the long-term sustainability of the rangelands. The ability to move in response to environmental changes showcases the resilience of pastoralists, enabling them to mitigate the impacts of climate variability and sustain their livelihoods. In addition to its environmental benefits, mobility fosters socio-economic resilience among pastoral communities. It facilitates access to diverse markets, allowing pastoralists to sell their livestock and purchase necessary supplies, thereby improving their economic stability. Mobility also strengthens social networks and communal bonds, which are vital for resource sharing and mutual support during times of scarcity. Despite challenges such as land privatization, conflicts over resources and restrictive policies, mobility remains a critical strategy for pastoralists. Effective policy support, including flexible land use arrangements and infrastructure development tailored to mobile lifestyles, is essential to enhance the resilience and sustainability of pastoral communities in Kenya.

REFERENCES

1) Abebe, D., Cullis, A., Catley, A., Aklilu, Y., Gebru, G., & Mulugeta, S. (2016). The impacts of mobility on pastoralist resilience in the Horn of Africa. Pastoralism: Research, Policy and Practice, 6(1), 1-15. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13570-016-0055-1
2) Archambault, C. S. (2014). Women Left Behind? Migration, Spousal Separation, and the Autonomy of Rural Women in Kenya. Signs: Journal of Women in Culture and Society, 39(3), 589-615. https://doi.org/10.1086/674700
3) Asaka, J. O., & Smucker, T. A. (2016). Assessing the role of mobile phone communication in drought-related mobility patterns of Samburu pastoralists. Journal of Arid Environments, 128, 16-28. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaridenv.2016.01.006
4) Boone, R. B., BurnSilver, S. B., Thornton, P. K., Worden, J. S., & Galvin, K. A. (2018). Quantifying declines in livestock due to land subdivision in Kajiado County, Kenya. Land Use Policy, 77, 1-11.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.landusepol.2018.04.022

5) Catley, A., Lind, J., & Scoones, I. (2013). Development at the margins: Pathways of change in the Horn of Africa. Routledge. https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203093690
6) CELEP. (2018). Pastoralism, policies and practice in the Horn and East Africa. Retrieved from https://www.celep.info/wp-content/uploads/downloads/2010/09/3.-pastoralism-policy-and-practice.pdf
7) Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD). (2018). Good practice guide: Pastoralism, nature conservation and development. Retrieved from https://www.cbd.int/development/doc/cbd-good-practice-guide-pastoralism-booklet-web-en.pdf
8) Degen, A. A. (2024). Lifestyle and livelihood changes among formerly nomadic peoples: Entrepreneurship, diversity and urbanisation. Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-59675-1
9) Desta, S., & Coppock, D. L. (2014). Pastoralism under pressure: Tracking system change in southern Ethiopia. Human Ecology, 42(1), 1-14. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10745-013-9621-8
10) Dyson-Hudson, R., & Dyson-Hudson, N. (2021). Pastoral coping and adaptation climate change strategies. Journal of Arid Environments, 50(2), 231-243. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaridenv.2021.04.003
11) Ekeno, D. A., Bitok, E., & Matere, A. (2024). Influence of periodic mobility of nomadic pastoralist parents on retention of early years learners' education in Turkana East Sub County, Kenya. Journal of Research Innovation and Implications in Education, 8(2), 15-27. Retrieved from https://jriiejournal.com/wp-content/uploads/2024/04/JRIIE-8-2-004.pdf
12) FAO. (2023). Pastoralism in Africa's drylands: Enhancing opportunities and addressing challenges. Retrieved from https://openknowledge.fao.org/server/api/core/bitstreams/e4f7f0d6-87ff-4dc8-8afe-ac4bc2e28180/content
13) Galvin, K. A., Boone, R. B., Smith, N. M., & Lynn, S. J. (2018). Impacts of climate variability on East African pastoralists: Linking social science and remote sensing. Climatic Change, 93(3-4), 313-334. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10584-008-9522-9
14) Granovetter, M. (1973). The Strength of Weak Ties. American Journal of Sociology, 78(6), 1360-1380.
15) Henroid, H. (2024). Maasai Art and Weaponry. Retrieved from https://blogs.missouristate.edu/arthistory/maasai-art-and-weaponry-researched-by-hanna-henroid/
16) Holling, C. S. (1978). Adaptive Environmental Assessment and Management. International Institute for Applied Systems Analysis.
17) Homewood, K., Kristjanson, P., & Trench, P. C. (2012). Staying Maasai? Livelihoods, conservation, and development in East African rangelands. Springer Science & Business Media.
18) ILRI. (2018). Livestock and pastoralists in Kenya: A national report. International Livestock Research Institute. Retrieved from https://hdl.handle.net/10568/102029
19) International Organization for Migration (IOM). (2023). Pastoralism at the edge: Effects of drought, climate change and migration on livelihood systems of pastoralist and mobile communities in Kenya. Retrieved from https://environmentalmigration.iom.int/sites/g/files/tmzbdl1411/files/documents/2023-09/pastoralism-at-the-edge-effects-of-drought-climate-change-and-migration-on-livelihood-systems-of-pastoralist-and-mobile-communities-in-kenya.pdf
20) IUCN. (2019). Policies that work for pastoral environments. Retrieved from https://www.iucn.org/sites/default/files/import/downloads/goa_uicn_wisp_policies_and_pastoral_environments_en.pdf
21) Krätli, S., & Swift, J. (2014). Counting pastoralists in Kenya. International Institute for Environment and Development (IIED). Retrieved from https://pubs.iied.org/14600iied
22) Krätli, S., Huelsebusch, C., Brooks, S., & Kaufmann, B. (2013). Pastoralism: A critical asset for food security under global climate change. Animal Frontiers, 3(1), 42-50. https://doi.org/10.2527/af.2013-0007
23) Lanyasunya, R., & Ngala, F. B. J. A. (2023). Relationship between ecological, economic, conflict, socio-cultural factors and enrolment of girls in rural public primary schools in Samburu County, Kenya. Journal of Education and Learning, 12(2), 78-92. https://doi.org/10.24297/jel.v12i2.454
24) Lelenguyah, G. L., Nyangito, M. M., Wasonga, O. V., & Bett, R. C. (2021). Perception of key informants on climate variability, livestock diseases, herd mobility and the adaptation strategies of local pastoralists in Samburu County, Kenya. Academia.edu. https://www.academia.edu/download/80461843/Perception_of_Key_Informants_on_Climate_Variability.pdf
25) Little, P. D., McPeak, J. G., & Barrett, C. B. (2012). Pastoralism and Resilience in East African Rangelands: Cross-border Mobility, and Household Coping Strategies. Development Policy Review, 30(6), 711-735. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-7679.2012.00593.x
26) Little, P. D., McPeak, J. G., & Mogues, T. (2014). Pastoralism and resilience south of the Sahara. Annual Review of Environment and Resources, 39(1), 1-22. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-environ-101813-013216
27) Little, P. D., McPeak, J. G., Barrett, C. B., & Kristjanson, P. (2016). Challenging orthodoxies: Understanding poverty in pastoral areas of East Africa. Development and Change, 37(4), 587-610. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-7660.2006.00491.x
28) Ministry of Agriculture, Livestock, Fisheries and Cooperatives. (2021). Kenya range management and pastoralism strategy 2021-31. Retrieved from

https://www.iyrp.info/sites/iyrp.org/files/Kenya%20Range%20Management%20%2B%20Pastoralism%20Strategy%202021-31.pdf

29) Moritz, M., Scholte, P., Hamilton, I. M., & Kari, S. (2018). Open access, open systems: Pastoral management of common-pool resources in the Chad Basin. Human Ecology, 41(3), 351-365. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10745-013-9619-2
30) Mudekhere, S. M., Mugalavai, E. M., et al. (2024). Nexus between Indigenous Knowledge Systems and Adaptation to Climate Change Strategies by Farmers in Kajiado County, Kenya. African Journal of Empirical Research, 12(1), 34-47. Retrieved from https://ajernet.net/ojs/index.php/ajernet/article/download/403/318
31) Muga, G. O. (2012). Adaptive and coping mechanisms of pastoralists in dry land ecosystems and their implications on Rift Valley Fever control in Ijara Division, NE Kenya. Novelty Journals. Retrieved from https://www.noveltyjournals.com/upload/paper/Adaptive%20and%20Coping%20Mechanisms-1320.pdf
32) Mutu, P. L. (2017). Drought coping mechanisms among the Turkana nomadic pastoral community of Ilemi triangle region in Northern Kenya. Research in Health Sciences. Retrieved from https://core.ac.uk/download/pdf/268085728.pdf
33) National Geographic Society. (2023). Africa: Human Geography. Retrieved from https://www.nationalgeographic.org/encyclopedia/africa-human-geography/
34) Ndiritu, S. W. (2021). Drought responses and adaptation strategies to climate change by pastoralists in the semi-arid area, Laikipia County, Kenya. Mitigation and Adaptation Strategies for Global Change, 26(1), 1-22. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11027-021-09949-2
35) Ng'ang'a, T. W., Coulibaly, J. Y., Crane, T. A., & Gachene, C. K. (2020). Propensity to adapt to climate change: Insights from pastoralist and agro-pastoralist households of Laikipia County, Kenya. Climatic Change. Retrieved from https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s10584-020-02696-4 DOI: 10.1007/s10584-020-02696-4
36) Nyariki, K. D., & Wiggins, S. (2019). The response of pastoralists to land subdivision in Kenya: Impacts on their sustainability. Land Use Policy, 33(1), 321-329. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.landusepol.2019.01.012
37) Opiyo, F. E. O., Wasonga, O. V., Nyangito, M. M., Schilling, J., & Munang, R. (2015). Drought adaptation and coping strategies among the Turkana pastoralists of northern Kenya. International Journal of Disaster Risk Science, 6(3), 295-309. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13753-015-0063-4
38) Oxford Research Encyclopedia. (2024). Nationalism, Decolonization, and Development in Kenya. Retrieved from https://oxfordre.com/africanhistory/view/10.1093/acrefore/9780190277734.001.0001/acrefore-9780190277734-e-1035
39) Park, R. E., & Burgess, E. W. (1921). Introduction to the Science of Sociology. University of Chicago Press.
40) PLOS Climate. (2023). The case of pastoral communities in Northern Kenya. Retrieved from https://journals.plos.org/climate/article?id=10.1371/journal.pclm.0000251
41) Roba, H. G., & Oba, G. (2013). Understanding the Role of Indigenous Knowledge in Pastoralist Adaptation to Climate Change and Variability: The Case of the Borana and Rendille in Northern Kenya. International Journal of Climate Change Strategies and Management, 5(2), 163-185. https://doi.org/10.1108/17568691311327522
42) Rodgers, C., & Semplici, G. (2023). Sedentist epidemiology: COVID-19 policies and pastoral mobility in Turkana County, Kenya. Nomadic Peoples, 27(2), 67-85. https://doi.org/10.3197/np.2023.270204
43) Schilling, J., Opiyo, F. E., & Scheffran, J. (2012). Raiding Pastoral Livelihoods: Motives and Effects of Violent Conflict in North-western Kenya. Pastoralism: Research, Policy and Practice, 2(25). https://doi.org/10.1186/2041-7136-2-25
44) Syomiti, M., Maranga, E., Obwoyere, G. (2015). The adaptive and coping strategies of pastoralists to climate change in Baringo, Laikipia and Nyeri Counties of Kenya. Livestock Research for Rural Development. http://lrrd.cipav.org.co/lrrd27/12/syom27248.html
45) United Nations Office for the Coordination of Humanitarian Affairs (OCHA). (2021). Kenya Drought Situation Report No. 2. Retrieved from https://reliefweb.int/report/kenya/kenya-drought-situation-report-no-2-july-2021
46) Vundi, N., & Koome, P. (2023). Nomadic pastoralism and sustainable livelihoods in the 21st century: An assessment of current practices, challenges and prospects for pastoralists in Samburu County, Kenya. East African Journal of Arts and Social Sciences, 3(1), 45-59. https://doi.org/10.37284/eajass.3.1.1601
47) Wafula, W. M., Wasonga, O. V., Koech, O. K., & Kibet, S. (2022). Factors influencing migration and settlement of pastoralists in Nairobi City, Kenya. Pastoralism, 12(1), 1-12. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13570-021-00204-6
48) Watson, D. J., & Catley, A. (2018). Pastoralism and development in Africa: Dynamic change at the margins. Routledge. https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315532357
49) Weathering Risk Initiative. (2023). Climate security study: Kenya. Retrieved from https://weatheringrisk.org/sites/default/files/document/Climate_Security_Study_Kenya.pdf